

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to force
their stations to
air an anti-Kerry
documentary days
before the election
is a frightening
example of the
dangers of media
consolidation.

Sinclair uses the
public airwaves free
of charge, and is
obligated by law to
serve the public
interest. But when
large companies
control the
airwaves, we don't
get what Fox news
calls "fair and
balanced", we get
unfair and slanted.

I'm shocked that
Sinclair will be
able to air a
political attack as
"news" or a
"documentary".

Sinclair's actions
show why we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. They
show why the license
renewal process
needs to involve
more than a returned
postcard. Thank you.
Carl Shechter